

Evaluating Source Authority: The WHY Method



Who was the author?

- Anonymous No one takes credit, or labeled as "anonymous"
- Nonprofessional The author has no demonstrated expertise.
- Corporate Author No named authors;
 a corporation or
 organization is
 responsible
- Academic-amateur The author has a
 graduate degree in
 another field, but is an
 amateur in this field
- Professional or Journalist - The author has significant professional expertise in this field, or else a degree and/or a year's experience as a working journalist
- Academic The author has a graduate degree (M.S.W., J.D., Ph.D., etc.) in this field



How was it edited?

- Self-Published The author shared it directly with the public
- Vanity Press The author paid someone to publish it for them
- Wiki Multiple semianonymous people wrote/edited it collaboratively
- Nonprofessionally Reviewed - Someone other than the author reviewed it, but not a professional editor
- Professionally Edited A professional editor
 (who has real credentials as a journalist)
 reviewed it
- Peer-reviewed Academic scholars in this field reviewed it prior to publication



whY was this published?

- Personal Published for completely personal reasons
- Commercial Published by a commercial organization, or with the purpose of making a profit
- Non-prot Published by an officially nonprofit organization or entity
- Youth Published primarily for an audience of students in K-12 schools
- Government Published by a governmental organization or entity
- Higher Education Published by an institution of higher education, or primarily for a higher education audience